Gastric Ultrasound: Objective data for debatable NPO Guidelines

Andy Baum, CRNA



Objectives

- Review peri-operative NPO Guidelines
- Review gastric sonoanatomy
- Understand clinical indications for POCgUS
- Differentiate gastric contents using gastric ultrasound images
- Application of objective data in clinical practice/ decision making

Fasting Guidelines

- 2017 published NPO Guidelines
- Goal: prevent pulmonary aspiration
- Evidence:
 - Category A
 - RCTs/ Meta Analysis
 - Category B
 - Observational
 - Category C
 - Opinion Based Evidence
- Routine treatment of GI symptoms with antacids, H2 blockers and anticholinergics is not recommended

A Fasting Recommendations*	
Ingested Material	Minimum Fasting Periodt
Clear liquidst	2h
Breast milk	46
 Infant formula 	Sh
Nonhuman milk§	6h
 Light meal** 	6h
 Fried foods, fatty foods, or meat 	Additional fasting time (e.g., 8 or more hours) may be needed
B. Pharmacologic Recommendations	
Medication Type and Common Examples	Recommendation
Gastrointestinal stimulants:	
 Metoclopramide 	May be used/no routine use
Gastric acid secretion blockers:	
Cimetidine	May be used/no routine use
 Famotidine 	May be used/no routine use
 Ranitidine 	May be used/no routine use
Omeprazole	May be used/no routine use
Lansoprazole	May be used/no routine use
Antac/ds:	
 Sodium citrate 	May be used/no routine use
 Sedium bicarbonate 	May be used/no routine use
 Magnesium trisilicate 	May be used/no routine use
Antiemetics:	
 Ondansetron 	May be used/no routine use
Anticholinergics:	
Atropine	No use
 Scopolamine 	No use
 Glycopyrrolate 	No use
Combinations of the medications above:	No routine use
Atropine Atropine Atropine Scopolamine Glycopyrrolate Combinations of the medications above: "These recommendations apply to he elective procedures. They are not infe the guidelines does not guarantee con The fasting periods noted above app Examples of clear liquids include wat	No use No use No routine use No routine use althy patients who are undergoin nded for women in labor. Followin plete gastric emptying. ly to all ages. ter, fruit juices without pulp, carbo
ated beverages, clear tea, and black o	offee.
amount ingested must be considered fasting period.	i when determining an appropriate
"A light meal typically consists of t include fried or fatty foods or meat in Additional fasting time (e.g., 8 or mo cases. Both the amount and type of f when determinity as anonomize fasting.	oast and clear liquids. Meals that nay prolong gastric emptying time me hours) may be needed in these foods ingested must be considered on needed.

Anesthesiology March 2017, Vol. 126, 376–393.

3

Limitation of NPO Guidelines

Intended for healthy ASA 1 and 2 patients undergoing elective surgery

- Common Pathology- Severe Obesity, DM, GERD, hernia, bowel obstruction, ilieus
- Medication Concerns: Incretin-mimetics, Chemotherapy, Opioids
- Surgical Concerns: Urgent/ Emergent Surgery, Questionable NPO status

Other:

- Altered Mental State
- Pediatrics
- Language Barrier

Does not consider Airway exam- difficult intubation

POCgUS is a Pre- Operative Assessment Tool



5

How POCgUS can help decision making

Assess gastric content

apply to accepted gastric volume thresholds (clear liquids)

Offers an objective assessment for clinical decision making

- Delay vs Cancel
- Gastric decompression
- LMA vs ETT
- SIVI vs RSI



POCgUS Qualitative Assessment





POCgUS sonoanatomy



Blue line: scanning plane; A: antrum; Ao: aorta; L: liver; P: pancreas; Sma: superior mesenteric artery





SKIN/ SUD CUTANEOUS TISSUE

Rectus musc

Liver

Antrum- " bullseye" sign or target sign

Pancreas

Superior mesenteric artery

Aorta- should be in longitudinal view

POCgUS Images- Antrum

LAYER	CHARACTERISTICS
Serosa (1)	Thin, hyperechoic
Muscularis propriae (2)	Thick, hypoechoic
Submucosa (3)	Hyperechoic
Muscularis mucosae (4)	Hypoechoic
Mucosal-air interface (5)	Thin, hyperechoic



9









multiple air bubbles (on a hypoechoic background) usually seen shortly after ingestion of clear fluids or carbonated beverages





Grade	Assessment	Volume	Aspiration Risk
0	Empty- Supine/ RLD	Empty- Supine/ RLD	LOW
1	Empty- Supine RLD- clears	< 1.5 mL/kg Predictive of baseline gastric secretions	LOW
2	Fluid in both Supine and RLD	> 1.5 mL/kg Likely excess of baseline gastric secretions	HIGH

Grading System- Qualitative Assessment

Supine position alone cannot rule out a full stomach

In the RLD gravity allows stomach content to mobilize into the antrum

with Urinal



Grade	Assessment	Volume	Aspiration Risk
0	Empty- Supine/ RLD	Empty- Supine/ RLD	LOW
1	Empty- Supine RLD- clears	< 1.5 mL/kg Predictive of baseline gastric secretions	LOW
2	Fluid in both Supine and RLD	> 1.5 mL/kg Likely excess of baseline gastric secretions	

Quantitative Assessment		Age(y)							
		20	30	40	50	60	70		
	2	31	18	5	0	0	0		
The cross-sectional area of the antrum (CSA) has a linear	3	45	32	20	7	0	0		
	4	60	47	34	21	9	0		
correlation with the gastric volume	5	74	62	49	36	23	10		
	6	89	76	63	51	38	25		
How measure the CSA:	7	103	91	78	65	52	40		
	8	118	105	93	80	67	54		
- Identify the antrum at the level of the aorta in the	9	133	120	107	94	82	69		
	10	147	135	122	109	96	83		
KLD	11	162	149	136	123	111	98		
- Obtain a still image of the antrum at rest (between	12	101	179	165	158	140	115		
peristaltic contractions)	14	206	193	180	167	155	142		
I start for the start of the self second and be	15	220	207	194	182	169	156		
- Use the free-tracing tool of the ultrasound machine	16	235	222	209	200	184	171		
to measure the CSA including the full thickness of	17	249	236	224	211	198	185		
the gastric wall (from serosa to serosa)	18	264	251	239	226	213	200		
	19	278	266	253	240	227	214		
- Use a predictive model to assess the gastric volume	20	293	281	268	255	242	229		
	21	307	295	282	269	256	244		
	22	323	310	297	284	271	259		
	23	337	324	311	298	285	273		
	24	352	339	326	313	301	288		
CSA of 10 cm2 is generally considered low	25	366	353	340	327	315	302		
	26	381	368	355	343	330	317		
risk for aspiration	27	395	382	369	357	344	331		
	28	410	397	385	372	359	346		
	29	424	411	398	386	3/3	360		



19

Limitations

- Previous gastric surgery
- Large hiatal hernia
- Pediatrics
 - Cooperation
- Obesity
 - Challenging anatomy
- Pregnancy
 - Displaced anatomy



Contraindications

Absolute

- Patient refusal

Relative

- abdominal wounds
- epigastric bandages
- cannot be safely positioned RLD
- Can use semi fowler position if RLD is not attainable

Scanning Technique- Preparation

Ultrasound Machine

- if available one capable of measuring CSA
- Position to optimize ergodynamics
- Curved Linear Probe

Clean Towels

Position patient

Expose epigastrium



21

Scanning Technique

- 1) Place probe midline/ inferior to xyphoid 2) Confirm probe orientation
- (cephalad)
- 3) Locate the liver
- 4) Locate Adb Ao and SMA (?) Optimize depth
- Scan left to right
 Identify

 a) skin/sub cutaneous tissue
 b) Rectus muscle
- - - Liver
 - c) d) Antrum

 - e) Pancreas
 f) Superior mesenteric artery
 g) Aorta- should be in longitudinal view
 h) spine



Clinical Pearls

- Antrum is located next to the inferior edge of the liver
- Sonoanatomy Priorities:
 - Liver
 - Antrum
 - Aorta
 - Spine
- Scan!!!!

23

Routine Use

- Routine use of POCgUS is debatable; but not an established standard of care
- All anesthesia providers should be formally trained on POCgUS Including live scans
- Highly recommended POCgUS scan in any delayed gastric emptying:
 - Unknown/uncertain NPO status Type I and II diabetics

 - End-stage renal disease
 - Liver disease

 - Critical illness
 Neuromuscular disorders

 - Acute pain, opioid use
 Incretin mimetic medication

References

American Society of Anesthesiologists. (2017). Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: An updated report. Anesthesiology, 113(3), 376-393.

Clinical Skills Academy (2024, March 4), Gastric Ultrasound (Gastric POCUS) [Video]. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Kc5qVMGGPU&t=339s

Kruisselbrink, R., Gharapetian, A., Chaparro, L. E., Ami, N., Richler, D., Chan, V. W. S., & Perlas A. (2019). Diagnostic accuracy of point-of-care gastric ultrasound. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 128(1), 89-95.

Perlas, A. (n.d.). Gastric Ultrasound. USRA. http://www.usra.ca/regional-anesthesia/specificblocks/pocus/gastric.php

Perlas, A., Arzola, C., & Van de Putte, P. (2018). Point-of-care gastric ultrasound and aspiration risk assessment: A narrative review. Canadian Journal of Anesthesia, 65(4), 437-448. doi: 10.1007/s12630-017-1031-9.

Perlas, A., Chan, V. W., Lupu, C. M., Mitsakakis, N., & Hanbidge, A. (2009). Ultrasound assessment of gastric content and volume. Anesthesiology, 111(1), 82-89. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181a97250.

Perlas, A., Davis, L., Khan, M., Mitsakakis, N., & Chan, V. W. (2011). Gastric sonography in the fasted surgical patient: A prospective descriptive study. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 113(1), 93-97. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e31821b98c0.

Van de Putte, P., Van Hoonacker, J., & Perlas A. (2018). Gastric ultrasound to guide anesthetic management in elective surgical patients non-compliant with fasting instructions: A retrospective cohort study. Minerva Anestesiologica, 84(7), 787-795. doi: 10.23736/S0375-9393.17.12305-9.

Van de Putte, P., Bouvet, L., Arzola, C., Gadsden, J., Kruisselbrink, R., & Spencer, A. (n.d.). *Gastric Ultrasound • Image Acquisition*. Gastric UltraSound A Point-Of-Care Tool for Aspiration Risk Assessment. https://www.gastricultrasound.org/en/acquisition/